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This talk...

1. **Trends:** Key database-related problems that the crypto/security community is currently working on / needs help with

2. **Suggestions:** My call for action
An exciting time for crypto+systems

- The world has started building systems using **advanced cryptography**
- ~$300 billion rely on advanced crypto (in cryptocurrencies)
- Enables building much more interesting database systems

- authenticated data structures (e.g., blockchains, Merkle trees)
- zero-knowledge proofs
Key problems and trends in a nutshell...

1. Secure federated analytics
2. Decentralized security
   - Scalable consensus
   - Scalable authenticated data structures
3. Side-channel prevention
Call for action in a nutshell…

- Address the 3 problems requires **close collaboration** between database systems and cryptography experts.
- It is not enough for database systems to use cryptography as a **black box**.
Example: database folks want to hide the contents of a database and the query execution from a DB server.

Cryptographer: We invented fully homomorphic encryption to enable any computation!

Databaser: It takes a million years to do a Google search (for Google’s database size).
Call for action in a nutshell…

- We need to **open up both boxes** and get our hands dirty

1. understand your database workload
2. open up the crypto box, understand the tools it has, their pros and cons, or design new ones
3. use database principles or tools to compose them (e.g. query planning)

[CryptDB, Verena, BlindBox, Embark, PrivStats, VPriv, …]
Secure federated analytics
Problem

- N organizations have sensitive databases they cannot share
- They want to run joint data analytics
Example 1: Intel Cancer Cloud

- Hospitals have databases of patient data
- Some forms of cancer are rare and it would benefit to find across hospitals the patient with the closest form of cancer who was treated successfully
- Hospitals cannot share data due to privacy regulations
Example 2: Kaiser Permanente

- Kaiser would like to know the average body mass index in each zip code by averaging data from different hospitals.
- Hospitals cannot share data due to privacy regulations.
Example 2: Banks

- Some banks want to detect fraud or money laundering by analyzing the data from multiple banks because fraud tends to happen cross institutions.
- Banks cannot share customer data due to business competition.
1. Organizations share encrypted data
2. Compute on the encrypted data, producing an encrypted result
3. **Jointly decrypt** the result and only the result

Morale: share the computation result and not the data
Secure multi-party computation (MPC) frameworks [SPDZ, Ag-MPC, Sharemind]

- Can compute any function securely

SQL query with one input value for every bit of every row in a party’s DB

giant circuit

MPC encrypted circuit into which parties can feed their encrypted databases and outcomes the query result
Solutions

- Secure multi-party computation frameworks [SPDZ, Ag-MPC, Sharemind]
  - Can compute any function securely
- Too few attempts at combining DB techniques with cryptography
  - SMCQL: does locally as much of a query as possible and then invokes MPC
  
Still inefficient because it still uses generic MPC for the bulk of the computation!
Solutions

- Secure multi-party computation frameworks [SPDZ, Ag-MPC, Sharemind]
  - Can compute any function securely
- Few attempts at combining DB techniques with cryptography
  - SMCQL: does locally as much of a query as possible and then invokes MPC
  - Google’s secure aggregation: only for summation
Recall Kaiser example

SELECT sum(BMI) FROM FederatedTable GROUP BY zipcode

- Suppose each of the N=7 organizations has M records, for M = 1 million
- With black-box MPC:
Open-box approach

Each organization runs the query locally and obtains a table of \( Z \) values
\( Z \approx 2500 \) zip codes in California.

Encrypt data with Paillier, a fast encryption scheme enabling summation.

\[
\text{Paillier}(\text{sum}1) \ast \text{Paillier}(\text{sum}2) \ast \text{Paillier}(\text{sum}3) = \text{Paillier}(\text{sum}1 + \text{sum}2 + \text{sum}3)
\]

Use black-box MPC to jointly decrypt

2500 resulting encrypted counts inputs to MPC vs. Fully black-box approach 7 million inputs

Morale: the open-box approach yields much better performance
Solutions

- Secure multi-party computation frameworks [SPDZ, Ag-MPC, Sharemind]
  - Can compute any function securely
- Few attempts at combining DB techniques with cryptography
  - SMCQL: does locally as much of a query as possible and then invokes MPC
  - Google’s secure aggregation: only for summation
Lots of interesting database questions

Standard query planning and cost modeling no longer work

Crypto tools do not have well understood performance (which depends on # of parties, amount of data, network locations)
Different crypto tools do not connect with each other so we need a conversion, whose cost depends also on the pair of tools
A very rewarding problem

- Can have societal impact:
  - better medical research
  - detect fraud easier
  - better user profiling

Security typically is an invisible property that costs; this time it can enable functionality not possible without
Decentralized security: blockchain and ledgers

- Scalable consensus
- Scalable authenticated data structures
1. How to achieve **scalable consensus** with **untrusted and unknown users**?

> Bitcoin’s proof of work is far too expensive

---

**Proof of work, or proof of waste?**

**Bitcoin and the energy usage dilemma**

If you’re moderately well versed in the blockchain ecosystem, then you would have by now come across the energy consumption debate that is taking place.

Towards the end of last year, the Bitcoin network was running on enough electricity to power more than 20 European nations. More recently, an article was run with the headline *Bitcoin mining now accounts for almost one percent of the world’s energy consumption.* Under a certain light, this is certainly true.
Proof of stake (e.g. Algorand) assume coins are distributed among many entities each having a small percentage of wealth, but we know that is not true due to mining pools?

Can re-use any principles from the line of work on BFT?
2. How to design **scalable** authenticated data structures?
A new generation of ledgers/blockchains are rising: transparency logs

- Promising idea: one powerful party (e.g., Google) hosts them, but anyone can verify
- Avoids the resource waste in Bitcoin due to consensus and everyone storing a copy of the blockchain
- Google is committed to giving good performance and scalability, but is not trusted for security
Transparency logs

- Based on authenticated data structures
- Ensure that the untrusted server cannot equivocate about the state of a data structure (e.g., log or tree).
What is challenging?

Key transparency

Blockchain

scalable hash chain verification: [DIZK, UsenixSec’2018]

One change in a leaf percolates up to root

Scalability goal: tree has billions of records; recompute tree every second; concurrent updates need to be atomic; need fault tolerance

Cannot lock root when updating

How to design high-throughput transactional semantics for authenticated data structures?
Side channel attacks
A significant side channels leakage in DBs: Access patterns

- An attacker can see the location in the database a read touches

Examples:

- DNS privacy, which records you look up
- Your Google search query
- Which public keys you look up in KeyTransparency
- Which records you look up in an encrypted medical database, coupled with frequency attacks
Existing solutions are expensive

- ObliVM $10^6$ performance overhead
- Our work on Opaque [NSDI18] and Oblix [Oakland/IEEE S&P 18] reduce overhead to 20x-40x
  - new query planning: oblivious query planning

- Can we protect against side channels more efficiently?
Very exciting time for databases and crypto

Three key problem areas/trends I see:
1. Secure federated analytics
2. Decentralized security
   - Scalable consensus
   - Scalable authenticated data structures
3. Side-channel prevention

I think we have great prospects of solving them if we collaborate between DB and crypto in an open-box manner

Thanks!